PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

Translation INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference												
IN 015-P/WO	FOR FURTHER ACTIO		See Form PCT/IPEA/416									
International application No.	International filing date (da	y/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)									
PCT/CH2004/000231	15.04.2004		15.04.2003									
International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC												
Applicant												
INNOGEL AG												
 This report is the international preliminary examination report, established by this International Preliminary Examining Authority under Article 35 and transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36. 												
2. This REPORT consists of a total of _	8	sheets, including	g this cover sheet.									
3. This report is also accompanied by A	NNEXES, comprising:											
a. (sent to the applicant and	to the International Bureau)	a total of 5	sheets, as follows:									
			mended and are the basis for this report and/or									
sheets containing red Instructions).	ctifications authorized by this	s Authority (see Ru	le 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative									
			siders contain an amendment that goes beyond									
the disclosure in the Box.	e international application as	filed, as indicated	in item 4 of Box No. I and the Supplemental									
b. (sent to the International)	Bureau only) a total of (indic	ate type and numbe	or of electronic carrier(s))									
(sem to the international)	bureau omy, a total of (maic	are type and numbe										
related thereto, in computer	readable form only as indi	cated in the Sunnle	_ , containing a sequence listing and/or tables mental Box Relating to Sequence Listing (see									
Section 802 of the Administ		cated in the Supple	mental box Relating to sequence Listing (see									
4. This report contains indications relati	ng to the following items:	-										
Box No. I Basis of the	report											
Box No. II Priority												
Box No. III Non-establi	shment of opinion with regar	d to novelty, invent	tive step and industrial applicability									
	ty of invention	•										
	•	with regard to nove	elty, inventive step or industrial applicability;									
	d explanations supporting su		,									
Box No. VI Certain doc	cuments cited											
Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application												
Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application												
Date of submission of the demand	Date	of completion of th	is report									
			- -									
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/EP	Auth	Authorized officer										
	1											
Faccimile No.	Tala	Telephone No										

International application No.
PCT/CH2004/000231

Box	No. I	Basis of the report	·	
1.		regard to the language, this report is based on the int	ernational application in the language in v	which it was filed, unless otherwise
		This report is based on translations from the original which is the language of a translation furnished for the		,
		international search (Rule 12.3 and 23.1(b))		
		publication of the international application (Ru	le 12.4)	
		international preliminary examination (Rule 55	5.2 and/or 55.3)	
2.	rece	regard to the elements of the international application iving Office in response to an invitation under Article report):	e 14 are referred to in this report as "or	neets which have been furnished to the iginally filed" and are not annexed to
	X	the international application as originally filed/furnis	hed	
		the description:		
		pages 1,2,4-24		as originally filed/furnished 10.12.2004 with letter
		pages* 3,3a	received by this Authority on	of 10.12.2004
		pages*	received by this Authority on	
	M	the claims:		
		nos.		as originally filed/furnished
		nos.*	as amended (together	with any statement) under Article 19 10.12.2004 with letter
		nos.* 1-13	received by this Authority on	
	_	nos,*	received by this Authority on	
	\boxtimes	the drawings:		
		sheets 1/4-4/4		as originally filed/furnished
		sheets*	received by this Authority on	
		sheets*	received by this Authority on	
		a sequence listing and/or any related table(s) - see S	upplemental Box Relating to Sequence Li	sting.
3.		The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of	: :	
		the description, pages		
		the claims, nos.		
		the sequence listing (specify):		
		any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify		
4.		This report has been established as if (some of) the they have been considered to go beyond the disclosured to go beyond the go beyond the disclosured to go beyond the good t	amendments annexed to this report and	listed below had not been made, since
		the description, pages		
		the claims, nos.	<u> </u>	
		the drawings, sheets/figs		
		the sequence listing (specify):		
		any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify		
*	If ite	em 4 applies, some or all of those sheets may be marke		

International application No.
PCT/CH2004/000231

Во		Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement							
1.	Statement								
	Novelty (N)	Claims	1-13	YES					
		Claims		NO					
	Inventive step (IS)	Claims	1-13	YES					
		Claims		NO					
	Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-13	YES					
		Claims		NO					
1									

2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7)

This report makes reference to the following documents:

D1: US A 4542013

D2: JP A 7117327

D3: JP B 48030462

D4: JP A 5245138

- 1. The amendments submitted with the letter of 10 December 2004 (claims 1-13 and pages 3, 3a of the description) do not introduce substantive matter which goes beyond the original disclosure in the international application as filed, and do not contravene PCT Article 34(2)(b).
- 2. The subject matter of claims 1-13 is novel (PCT Article 33(2)).
- 2.1 D1 describes polyvinylalcohol gels and articles produced therefrom, the aqueous solution containing a mixture of at least two polyvinylalcohols with different polymerisation degrees and hydrolysed to different degrees (search report).

PCT/CH2004/000231

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

The ranges of polymerisation degree disclosed in D1 are similar to those in the present claims, and the PVA with a lower polymerisation degree is hydrolysed to a different degree (<90%), unlike the present claim 1.

Consequently, the subject matter of claims 1-13 is novel over D1.

2.2 D2 describes polyvinylalcohol gels and articles produced therefrom, the aqueous solution containing a mixture of at least two polyvinylalcohols with different polymerisation degrees and a hydrolysis degree ranging from 65% to 95% (search report).

The ranges of polymerisation degrees disclosed in D2 do not correspond to those in the present claims.

Consequently, the subject matter of claims 1-13 is novel over D2.

2.3 D3 and D4 describe polyvinylalcohol gels with a low polymerisation degree (search report).

D3 and D4 do not disclose a mixture of at least two PVA with different polymerisation degrees.

Consequently, the subject matter of claims 1-13 is novel over D3 and D4.

3. The present application meets the requirements of PCT Article 33(1) because the subject matter of claims 1-13 involves an inventive step (PCT Article 33(3)). The

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

reasons therefor have been explained in the applicant's letter of 10 December 2004.

Document D1 is regarded as the prior art closest to the subject matter of claims 1-13 and discloses a mixture of at least two polyvinylalcohols with different polymerisation degrees and a high hydrolysis degree in an aqueous solution (2.1 above).

The subject matter of claims 1-13 therefore differs from D1 in that the PVA component has defined polymerisation degrees and at the same time a hydrolysis degree of more than 95%.

The examples and the description of the present application show that the use of at least two PVA having the claimed polymerisation degree and a hydrolysis degree of more than 95% leads to an increase in mechanical strength and modulus of elasticity (as explained by the applicant in the letter of 10 December 2004). The present invention can therefore be considered to address the problem of producing polyvinylalcohol gels with increased strength and modulus of elasticity.

The solution proposed in claims 1-13 of the present application can be considered inventive (PCT Article 33(3)) for the following reasons.

None of the documents D1-D4 discloses or suggests the use of at least two PVA with the claimed polymerisation and hydrolysis degrees in order to improve mechanical properties (i.e. increased strength and modulus of

International application No.
PCT/CH2004/000231

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

elasticity) of polyvinylalcohol gels.

In D2, the hydrolysis degree of the PVA component is lower than that in the present application.

In D3 and D4, the PVA with a low polymerisation degree possess good mechanical properties (such as flexibility in D3), but no mixture of at least two PVA is described, as claimed in the present application.

Consequently, the subject matter of claims 1-13 is inventive in relation to D1-D4.

International application No.
PCT/CH2004/000231

Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

The application fails to meet the requirements of PCT Article 6 for the following reasons.

- 1. Claims 2 and 9 do not meet the requirements of PCT Article 6 because the subject matter for which protection is sought is not clearly defined. These claims attempt to define their subject matter in terms of the result to be achieved, and in doing so merely state the problem addressed, without indicating the technical features necessary to achieve this result.
- 2. The term "transparent" in claim 11 is unclear and does not correspond to a clearly defined range (percentage) of this property.
- 3. Neither the claims nor the description indicate the process by and/or conditions in which the modulus of elasticity, strength, viscosity, polymerisation degree, number of chain branches, hydrolysis degree, swelling degree and transparency are measured.
- 4. Claims 3 and 4 describe the products according to the application in terms of their production process. However, these process features do not restrict the scope of protection of product claims and lead to a lack of clarity.
- 5. The expressions "preferably, respectively, in particular, preferred, most preferred" do not restrict the claims (claims 2 and 7-10).

International application No.
PCT/CH2004/000231

Box	No. V	7II	Cert	ain obser	rvatio	ns on t	he inter	rnationa	ıl appli	ication								
ň	6.	In	t.he	e des	scr	ipt:	ion,	th:	e e:	xamp	les	and	com	npar	ati	ve		
												shou					ied	
																		ļ
,																		
1																		